Filed 05/07/2002

Case 1:01-cv-01025-WWC Document 29

**BPS-137** 

April 4, 2002

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. <u>01-3317</u>

LEE D. LANDAU

VS.

ROBERT SHANNON

(M. D. Pa. Civ. No. 01-cv-01025)

Present:

ALITO, McKEE and ALDISERT, Circuit Judges

Submitted:

- (1) By the Clerk for possible dismissal due to a jurisdictional defect;
- (2) Appellant's jurisdictional response; and
- (3) Appellant's motion for appointment of counsel in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

MMW/CRD/je

ORDER\_

Appellant appeals the District Court's order entered August 6, 2001, affirming the Magistrate Judge's orders filed June 22, 2001, as within the Magistrate Judge's authority under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 to decide pre-trial and non-dispositive matters. This court may hear appeals only from final orders of the District Court. 28 U.S.C. § 1291. A final order ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the court to do but execute the judgment. Republic Natural Gas Co. v. Oklahoma, 334 U.S. 62, 68 (1948). The order appealed is neither a final order nor an immediately appealable interlocutory order. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for lack of appellate jurisdiction.

HARRISBURG PA

MAY 0 7 2002

MARY E. D'ANDRE OLL PROPERTY DEPUTY CIETA

Case 1:01-cv-01025-WWC Document 29 Filed 05/07/2002 Page 3 of 5

By the Court,

Circuit Judge

Dated: APR 3 0 2002

Ch/cc: LDL
WCC

A True Copy:

**BPS-136** 

April 4, 2002

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. <u>01-3938</u>

LEE D. LANDAU

VS.

FILED HARRISBURG PA

MAY 0 7 2002

ROBERT SHANNON

(M. D. Pa. Civ. No. 01-cv-01025)

Per Deputy Cier

Present:

ALITO, McKEE and ALDISERT, Circuit Judges

Submitted:

- (1) By the Clerk for possible dismissal due to a jurisdictional defect;
- (2) Appellant's jurisdictional response; and
- (3) Appellant's motion for sanctions

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

MMW/CRD/je

ORDER

The foregoing appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The order of the District Court, transferring the appellant's petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 to this Court to be treated as an application under 28 U.S.C. § 2244 for permission to file a second or successive section 2254 petition, is not a final, appealable order. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291; Carteret Savings Bank, FA v. Shushan, 919 F.2d 225 (3d Cir. 1990). The appellant's motion for sanctions is denied.

By the Court,

Circuit Judge

Dated: APR 3 0 2002

CH/CC: LDL WCC

A True Copy:

Marcia M. Waldron, Clerk